



CONNECTIVIT





NAI Ш



YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN 9 July 2013 NCSU Project: 200820026

CHAF

 \mathcal{I}



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

NCSU Steering Committee

Carolyn Axtman, Capital Project Management Allen Boyette, Building Maintenance and Operations Alan Daeke, Utilities and Engineering Bill Davis, Capital Project Management Pete Fraccaroli, University Housing Jeff Hightower, Utility Infrastructure Planning Lisa Johnson, Office of the University Architect David Josephus, Office of the University Architect Tom Kendig, University Transportation Sarah Ketchem, Grounds Management Robert Mathes, Real Estate Office Ralph Recchie, Real Estate Office Thomas Skolnicki, Office of the University Architect Cameron Smith, Capital Project Management Nessa Stone, Waste Reduction and Recycling

Planning Team: O'Brien/Atkins and Associates, PA. Jay W. Smith, RLA Jonathan Parsons, RLA Rick Ericson, AIA

Traffic Consultant: Ramey Kemp and Associates Rynal Stephenson

List of Figures

Figure 1: Project Study Area 5
Figure 2: Diagram of existing vehicular circulation7
Figure 3: Diagram of existing service areas
Figure 4: Diagram of Existing Pedestrian Circulation
Figure 5: Key Map of Corridor Constraints Exhibits
Figure 6: Area A constraints14
Figure 7: Area B constraints15
Figure 8: Area B constraints16
Figure 9a: Utilities composite map - west
Figure 9b: Utilities composite map - east
Figure 10:Existing sections key map 20
Figure 11:Existing section - A, looking east
Figure 12:Existing section - B, looking east
Figure 13: Existing section - C, looking east
Figure 14:Existing section - D, looking east
Figure 15: Existing section - E, looking east
Figure 16: Existing section - F, looking east
Figure 17: Existing section - G, looking east
Figure 18: Existing section - H, looking east
Figure 19: Concept Diagram- Vehicular circulation
Figure 20a: Rendered concept plan - west
Figure 20b: Rendered concept plan - east
Figure 21: Concept Key Plan 32
Figure 22: Area A concept plan and legend
Figure 23: Area B concept plan and legend
Figure 24: Area C concept plan and legend
Figure 25: Existing Parking Inventory by NCSU
Figure 26: Estimated parking impacts
Figure 27: Implementation Key Plan
Figure 28: Concept phasing plan
Figure 29: Area A cost opinion spreadsheet
Figure 30: Area A cost opinion reference plan 39
Figure 31: Area B1 cost opinion reference plan 40
Figure 32: Area B1 cost opinion spreadsheet
Figure 33: Area B2 cost opinion spreadsheet
Figure 34: Area B2 cost opinion reference plan
Figure 35: Area C cost opinion spreadsheet
Figure 36: Area C cost opinion reference plan

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.
Overview
Existing conditions
Existing constraints
Sections of existing of
Concept diagram
Overall concept plan
Enlarged concept key
Implementation
Phasing
Area A cost opinion .
Area B1 cost opinion
Area B2 cost opinion
Area C cost opinion .
Appendix

TABLE OF CONTENTS

_

5	2
	4
	12
conditions	
	29
n	30
ey plan	32
	37
	38
۱	39
n	40
n	41
	42
	43

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

OVERVIEW

Project Overview

Yarbrough Drive's primary role is to serve as the primary east to west service corridor for the North Campus of NC State University. The road provides access for deliveries, facilities maintenance, and pedestrian connectivity. The road also is a utility corridor. With the planned future development of the Pedestrian Bridge at the Talley Student Center, the redevelopment of Broughton Hall and the long range plans for a future Light Rail network, Yarbrough Drive's continued ability to serve in this role needs to be evaluated.

The purpose of the Yarbrough Drive Master Plan project is to analyze the corridor's functionality, evaluate existing conditions, identify constraints, and use these findings to provide a conceptual vision that compliments the NC State University Physical Master Plan. This conceptual vision will serve as a first step for future development.

The project boundary encompasses the Yarbrough Drive corridor from Dan Allen Drive to Pullen Drive. The southern boundary is the existing North Carolina Rail Road, the northern boundary is Stinson Drive and points beyond, to include key vehicular access connections and pedestrian pathways. Refer to the exhibit on next page.

Project Objectives

- Identification of University goals for Yarbrough Drive
- Analyze the existing conditions within the project area
- Identify opportunities for vehicular and pedestrian circulation
- Develop a long range vision for Yarbrough Drive
- Provide an implementation strategy

Planning Team

The planning team included O'Brien/Atkins and Ramey Kemp Associates. O'Brien/Atkins served as the design lead while Ramey Kemp associates provided traffic consultation. The Planning team worked with a stakeholder committee that included NC State University's Office of the University Architect, Capital Project Management, Facilities Division, University Transportation, University Housing and the Real Estate Office.

Referenced Documents:

The Master Plan report includes information researched from various documents and resources including the Schematic Design Package for Broughton Hall, the NC State Physical Master Plan, the Triangle Transit's schematic design package (produced July 2011), existing conditions information provided by the Campus Surveyor and Physical Plant staff, and the University's design standards.



Photo 1: View looking west along Yarbrough Drive, Broughton Hall to right of photo

University Goals for the Project

- Outline the Rail Road ROW easement requirements
- Maintain Yarbrough Drive as a key service road
- Identify utility issues within the Railroad ROW and Yarbrough Drive
- Maintain service access to dumpsters and services adjacent to Yarbrough Drive
- Provide recommendations for existing and proposed pedestrian crossings at the Railroad
- Develop a concept that creates a continuous Yarbrough Drive from Dan Allen Drive to Pullen Road
- Preserve and enhance the functionality around the existing Yarbrough Steam Plant
- Maintain as much parking capacity within the corridor as feasible
- Make recommendations for the proposed pedestrian bridge height between Broughton Hall and Talley Student Center
- Provide a phasing plan for implementing the Yarbrough Drive recommendations

rive t the Railroad en Drive to Pullen Roa m Plant

n Broughton Hall and Talley Student Center tions



Figure 1: Project Study Area

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Yarbrough Drive is the primary east-west connection between Dan Allen Drive and Stinson Drive, with Stinson Drive providing the final connection to Pullen Road. Stinson Drive and Yarbrough Drive form a one way loop along the south and north faces of Riddick, Mann, and Broughton Halls. The highest volume of traffic utilizing Yarbrough Drive originates from Dan Allen Drive, split between the campus bus system and delivery vehicles. The existing cross section for the main portion of Yarbrough Drive provides parking along both sides of travel lanes. This double loaded parking is contained between Dan Allen Drive and the western intersection with Stinson Drive. Further east of the Stinson Drive intersection are two dead end parking lots, accessed from Yarbrough Drive.

Three existing pedestrian tunnels cross under the railroad tracks, the Free Expression Tunnel, the Coliseum Tunnel, and the East Tunnel. These tunnels are critical pedestrian connections between north and south campuses. The Free Expression Tunnel has been upgraded to provide an accessible route between south campus and north campus. The Coliseum tunnel is located south of Mann Hall and Riddick Hall. The Coliseum tunnel is not an accessible route due to the significant grade change from the tunnel's exit up to Yarbrough Drive. An existing steam tunnel that runs parallel with Yarbrough drive, through the Coliseum tunnel's exit stairs, will impede any future improvements to the grade. The top of the tunnel is flush with one of the middle stair treads. The East Tunnel is located directly south of the field house and is not an accessible path due to the grade transition found at both entry points into the tunnel.

The majority of the length of Yarbrough Drive is fully within the railroad right of way. The only portions not within the right of way are the intersection at Dan Allen Drive and the one-way portion that runs directly behind Mann Hall and Riddick Hall. The service yard behind the steam plant is also within the railroad right of way.

To fully understand the existing constraints and opportunities, the planning team has observed the corridor for the following systems:

- Vehicular circulation
- Pedestrian paths and main entry points
- Service yards and utility areas



Photo 2: view of the railroad from Pullen Drive looking west







Building Reference

- A. David Clark Labs
- B. Fox Science Teaching Lab
- C. Thomas Hall
- D. Dabney/Cox Halls E. Bureau of Mines
- F. Broughton Hall
- G. Mann Hall
- H. Riddick Hall
- I. Yarbrough Plant
- J. Syme Residence Hall
- L. Welch Residence Hall

Yarbrough Drive is two way between Dan Allen Drive and Stinson Drive. East of Stinson Drive, Yarbrough constricts to a one-way road, eastbound, with parallel parking along the south side of the road. The existing grade is approximately 8%.

The one-way portion of Yarbrough Drive travels east and then north to connect with Stinson Drive. Stinson Drive is one way westbound and two-way eastbound. This allows delivery vehicles to travel from Dan Allen Drive east along Yarbrough Drive to Stinson Drive, accessing multiple buildings including Broughton, Mann, and Riddick Halls. These delivery vehicles can then use Stinson Drive west to access Current and Broughton Drives to reach further north into the campus.

Buses travel from Dan Allen Drive east along Yarbrough and then continue east along Stinson Drive to exit the campus at Pullen Road. Bus stops are located at both Yarbrough/Stinson intersections.

EXISTING VEHICULAR CIRCULATION

Figure 2: Diagram of existing vehicular circulation

Traffic Analysis



Photo 3: View of Stinson Drive at SAS Hall, priority given to pedestrian movements

Traffic data collection was completed at the following intersections:

- Yarbrough Drive and Dan Allen Drive
- Yarbrough Drive/Current Drive and Stinson Drive
- Baver Drive and Pullen Road

The data was collected during the weekday AM and PM peak hours in November 2012 while NCSU was in session.

Turning movement counts of vehicles were completed for each turning movement at the intersections. In addition, pedestrians and bicycles were counted at the intersections. Pedestrians were counted based on the approach they crossed.



Photo 4: View of Dan Allen Drive looking south from Yarbrough Drive

Photo 5: View of Students traveling along Stinson Drive, Language Lab in foreground

Yarbrough Drive and Dan Allen Drive

The westbound approach of Yarbrough Drive operates at a poor level of service during the PM peak hour. The intersection was not signalized at the time of data collection; however, changes have occurred since the data collection and analysis that should improve traffic operations for the intersection. Dan Allen Drive is now closed to through traffic during the weekday business hours (9:00 AM – 5:00 PM) and a traffic signal was recently installed at the intersection.

Pedestrian volumes are significant at this intersection, particularly crossing Yarbrough Drive. Striped crosswalks exist at the intersection and the recent improvements on Dan Allen Drive would be expected to improve conditions for pedestrians crossing the intersection during times when Dan Allen Drive is closed to through vehicle traffic.

Vehicle traffic volumes are relatively low at this intersection; therefore, adequate capacity exists to accommodate existing traffic volumes. Stinson Drive is currently a one-way street in the westbound direction.

Pedestrian volumes are much higher than vehicle volumes at this intersection. Pedestrian movements are heavy crossing Current Drive, Yarbrough Drive, and westbound Stinson Drive. Pedestrian crosswalks are striped on three of the four intersection approaches (westbound Stinson Drive is not striped). A Wolf line bus stop is located on Yarbrough Drive near the intersection.



Yarbrough Drive/Current Drive and Stinson Drive



Photo 6: View of intersection of Baver Drive and Pullen Road

Baver Drive and Pullen Road

Traffic volumes along Pullen Road are heaviest during the lunchtime and evening hours. Baver Drive is a one-way street in the eastbound direction and intersects Pullen Road with a separate left turn lane and right turn lane. Turning vehicles from Baver Drive are relatively low during the peak periods probably due to the delays experienced turning from Baver Drive at an not signalized intersection. Vehicles are likely exiting onto Pullen Drive using the roundabout at Stinson Drive.

Pedestrian volumes are relatively high crossing Baver Drive, but are low crossing Pullen Road. A crosswalk is located on Baver Drive, which connects to sidewalk located along the west side of the bridge just to the south over the railroad.

Baver Drive is adjacent to railroad right-of-way to the south and buildings immediately north; therefore, this roadway has limited opportunities to be widened in the future. In addition, the intersection is located near the bridge on Pullen Road that carries two travel lanes with no feasible opportunity for widening to provide a left turn lane. For these reasons, it is unlikely that a traffic signal would be installed at this intersection in the future.

It should be noted that data collection along Pullen Road occurred prior to the closure of Dan Allen Drive to through traffic during weekday business hours. It is possible that Pullen Road will carry even higher traffic volumes during these times making it more difficult to turn from Baver Drive.



Photo 7: View looking north along Yarbrough Drive, between Language Lab and Riddick Hall

Transit

A Wolfline transit route currently exists in the eastbound direction along Yarbrough Drive between Dan Allen Drive and Stinson Drive. Stops are currently at the following locations:

- South side of Yarbrough Drive east of Dan Allen Drive
- South side of Yarbrough Drive just west of Stinson Drive (near Broughton Hall)
- East side of Yarbrough Drive just south of Stinson Drive/Current Drive intersection (at Language and Computer Lab building)

It would be desirable to maintain the current general stop locations since these stops provide convenient access for pedestrians to buildings within campus. If the Yarbrough/Stinson/Current Drive stop were relocated to the new Yarbrough Drive alignment (south of Riddick Hall), it would cause students to walk longer distances to their destinations which are located further north within campus.

The current bus stop located on Yarbrough Drive at the Language and Computer Lab building results in riders waiting at stop near the sidewalk entrance to the Language and Computer Lab building. The pedestrian route to the door of this building is partially blocked during certain times due to riders waiting at the stop. It would be recommended to relocate the bus stop slightly south on Yarbrough to minimize this interference.





Photo 8: View of main entry to Yarbrough Plant, Language Labs to right of photo

Broughton Hall from the existing location west of the intersection with Stinson Drive to the east side of the intersect creating a far-side stop. In addition, a pedestrian crosswalk can be added on Yarbrough Drive at the intersection with Stinson near this location to facilitate a safe pedestrian crossing.

EXISTING SERVICE AREAS



Figure 3: Diagram of existing service areas

Yarbrough Drive is a major service road for north campus, providing access to over eleven buildings and fifteen service areas. The buildings along Yarbrough Drive have the service areas oriented south towards the road. The only access to these service areas is from Yarbrough Drive.

Zone shops, work areas for NC State facilities management groups, are located at David Clark and the Steam Plant. These shops require the storage of multiple vehicles and materials staging areas to facilitate the daily maintenance and upkeep of the North Campus.

While several of the service areas are clustered tightly together, the access to these areas limits the size of vehicle, which requires multiple moves of individual containers to facilitate waste pick up. These areas are identified as "Pull Out" areas on the diagram above.

The existing vehicle storage areas are not secure and are limited in capacity. The existing service areas around the Yarbrough Plant require approximately 30 spaces to stage work trucks and other service vehicles.

Refer to the enlarged constraints exhibits for additional analysis.

Legend



A

- Secondary Service Road
- Primary Service Road
- Access to Loading Dock
- Loading Dock/Service Dock
- Pull Out Area for Dumpster Servicing
- Railroad Right of Way
- **Building Reference**



Building Reference

- A. David Clark Labs
- B. Fox Science Teaching Lab
- C. Thomas Hall
- D. Dabney/Cox Halls
- E. Bureau of Mines F. Broughton Hall
- G. Mann Hall
- H. Riddick Hall
- I. Yarbrough Plant
- J. Syme Residence Hall
- L. Welch Residence Hall





Legend			
	Main Campus Path		
unut funn	Secondary Campus Path		
	Pedestrian Bridge		
	Pedestrian Tunnel		
	Railroad Right of Way		
	Building reference		
•	Wolfline Bus Stop		
N	0 100 200 300 ft		

Building Reference

A. David Clark Labs B. Fox Science Teaching Lab C. Thomas Hall D. Dabney/Cox Halls E. Bureau of Mines F. Broughton Hall G. Mann Hall H. Riddick Hall I. Yarbrough Plant J. Syme Residence Hall L. Welch Residence Hall

The existing pedestrian circulation for North Campus is based on a hierarchy as outlined by the NC State University Master Plan. The major East-West corridors for pedestrian movement follow Stinson Drive, Cates Avenue, and Founders Way. In addition, a number of students were observed walking along Yarbrough Drive as a short cut to access the Coliseum Tunnel. Future development of Yarbrough Drive should include adding additional pedestrian pathways along the road edges.

Circulation between the north and south halves of the campus is accomplised by the pedestrian walkways at Pullen Road and Dan Allen Drive at each end of the study corridor. Three tunnels provide access between the campuses. These tunnels are the Free Expression Tunnel, Coliseum Tunnel, and the East Tunnel.

A pedestrian bridge is planned to connect the Talley Student Center with the future plaza at Broughton Hall. This connection will provide an accessible route from South Campus to North Campus and would allow the removal of the Coliseum Tunnel to be considered.

EXISTING PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION

Figure 4: Diagram of Existing Pedestrian Circulation

EXISTING CONSTRAINTS

The planning team completed an analysis of the existing conditions within the corridor to identify important constraints and key areas of opportunity. This analysis includes evaluating the impacts from several proposed projects including the Broughton Hall Addition and Renovation, the North Campus Parking Deck, the Talley Student Center Pedestrian Bridge over the railroad, and the proposed Light Rail Station located at Dan Allen Drive.

To understand the constraints in better detail, the corridor has been subdivided into three areas as shown in figure 5. These areas have been studied to identify the following:

- Topography
- Utility conflicts including mission critical elements
- Circulation for both vehicle and pedestrian
- Service access points
- Accessibility
- Development limitations
- Parking

Parking Overview

The north portion of the campus has a limited quantity of parking as a result of the urban character. Within the project area, an inventory of 379 spaces exists as counted by the NC State Transportation department. These spaces are permitted for different uses including staff and service vehicles. Development concepts proposed will attempt to minimize the impact to the existing parking while improving overall functionality.



Photo 9: View of East Tunnel looking south



0 50 100 200 ft

Figure 5: Key Map of Corridor Constraints Exhibits



AREA A - CONSTRAINTS

Topography

Dan Allen Drive slopes towards the south to provide clearance under the railroad bridge, creating a grade separation along the frontage of David Clark Labs. The change in grade required of Dan Allen Drive, creates a steep slope along Yarbrough Drive. Views of the David Clark Labs service area are prominent from Yarbrough Drive and merit consideration for screening.

Pedestrian Circulation

The main volume of pedestrian circulation is concentrated at the Free Expression Tunnel, represented by the blue dashed line in the adjacent figure. The flow of these pedestrians is two way and connects the south campus to the Brickyard via two pathways, the west path is accessible while the east has multiple stairs. While the tunnel's path does not intersect Yarbrough Drive at grade, access to the tunnel from Yarbrough is through a service yard between Dabney Cox and the Bureau of Mines.

A sidewalk is located along the north side of Stinson Drive to provide pedestrian access to the existing parking. Improvements to slow traffic and provide crossing zones should be considered.

Vehicular Circulation

Circulation within this area is a two-way path of travel. An existing access point restricts the overall traffic volume utilizing Yarbrough Drive to service vehicles, buses, and permit holders.

Service Access

Access to David Clark Labs is through a constrained driveway. Fox Labs is serviced by a shared access path. An existing dumpster at the southeast corner of the greenhouse should be considered for relocation to improve visible character.

The service area behind the Bureau of Mines building is located adjacent to the main pedestrian movement from the Free Expression Tunnel. This area merits improvements to screen the dumpsters, delineate clear pedestrian and vehicular areas, and to provide security to the existing materials storage behind the Bureau of Mines Building.

Accessibility

The free expression tunnel has been improved to provide an accessible route from south campus to the brick yard. Further improvements to the accessible routes from the bus stop to the brick yard along Stinson should include improving the crossing of Yarbrough Drive and modifications to the service access entry at the north end of Bureau of Mines.



Figure 6: Area A constraints

PAGE



SEE PAGE 14

Figure 7: Area B constraints

Topography

The grade change between Stinson Drive and the railroad right of way will facilitate a pedestrian bridge between the future Broughton Hall Addition plaza with the Talley Student Center. The current alignment of Yarbrough Drive requires the proposed bridge to be held to a higher elevation to provide clearance for service vehicles.

An existing retaining wall seperates the parking area on the east side of the Coliseum Tunnel Exit path, see the purple line in the adjacent figure representing this exit path. This is a low wall and could be removed through regrading of the parking area. An existing steam tunnel, runs parallel with Yarbrough Drive and is exposed at the area noted in yellow.

Pedestrian Circulation

The Coliseum Tunnel is a major connection between north and south campus and conveys a large volume of pedestrian traffic. This pathway creates a major conflict with service vehicles and buses using Yarbrough Drive at class changes.

Vehicular Circulation

Yarbrough Drive transitions to a one-way path of travel at the west intersection of Stinson and Yarbrough. A two-way access drive, south of Yarbrough, provides access to a dead end parking area. Parallel parking along Yarbrough drive further reduces the available width to Yarbrough Drive along the south side of Broughton, Mann, and Riddick Halls.

Service Access

Mann Hall and Riddick Hall are accessed off of the one-way portion of Yarbrough Drive, these access points require pick trucks to stage the dumpsters in the parking areas to allow for the large front loading dump trucks to empty them. A large materials testing waste area is located at Riddick and requires the use of front end loaders to remove the test samples 2-3 times an academic year. The existing parking area west of the steam plant is used by facilities management vehicles for storage and staging of equipment.

Accessibility

SEE

PAGE

16

The Coliseum Tunnel is not an accessible route between north and south campus. The proposed pedestrian bridge will provide a vital connection between the campuses that is accessible. In addition this bridge will reduce the conflicts between the pedestrian and vehicular circulation routes.

AREA B - CONSTRAINTS

AREA C - CONSTRAINTS

Topography

A significant grade separation exists between Yarbrough Drive and the south side of the Yarbrough Plant. This grade separation is currently mitigated by a large retaining wall that supports the electrical switch gear to the west of the Yarbrough plant.

An access drive has been installed from the parking area to the Yarbrough Plant service yard, the slope is greater than 10%.

The exit out of the East Tunnel is approximately 3 feet lower than the pavement grade behind the existing field house, requiring stairs to mitigate the grade.

Pedestrian Circulation

The East Tunnel is a major connection between north and south campus due to its location directly north of the large Coliseum Parking deck. This pathway leads from the tunnel to the Court of North Carolina, traversing the existing surface parking lot and climbing grade at Stinson Drive through a significant set of stairs.

Vehicular Circulation

Access behind the Yarbrough Plant is limited to service vehicles through manual gates. The slope change between the parking lot, west to the plant, and the service yard limits the functionality of this connection. Tractor trailers are required to service the oil tanks at the plant, the current circulation is challenging to navigate by large trucks. Improvements at Boney, Baver, and at the access ramp all should be considered to maintain the functionality of the plant.

There is also a need to maintain parking for over 40 vehicles, including large power trucks, tractors and light duty service vehicles. These vehicles need to be secured from the student population.

Service Access

Service access to the Yarbrough plant dumpsters requires the use of pick trucks to stage the dumpsters for the large front loading trash vehicles. Several overhead doors are located on the north face of the Yarbrough Plant and at a Zone Shop at the southwest corner of SAS Hall. These doors require access by work trucks and delivery vehicles.

Accessibility

The East Tunnel is not an accessible route between north and south campus. The renovation of this tunnel should be considered to provide an eastern accessible route between the two campuses.



Figure 8: Area B constraints





Photo 11: View of oil fill point at east side of Yarbrough Plant





Photo 13: View of access drive at south of Yarbrough Plant



Photo 14: View of service yard at Yarbrough Plant

Photo 12: View of stairs at the pedestrian walk leading to Stinson Drive, taken from Boney Parking Lot

Photo 15: View of Boney Drive, note the "curves"

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

Utilities Observations

Steam

The primary Steam line runs parallel with Yarbrough Drive from the Plant to the rear of Dabney/Cox Halls. Any new vehicular crossings over the steam tunnel will require detailed evaluation to determine if adequate cover and structural integrity are present.

Sanitary Sewer

The sanitary sewer for North Campus crosses under the existing railroad to out fall through South campus. There are three significant crossings, one adjacent to the Free Expression Tunnel, the other two are located in proximity to the Yarbrough Drive/Stinson Drive intersection.

Chilled Water

The main pipeline serving north campus has been relocated to Stinson Drive. South Campus is served by a utility tunnel running from the south face of Yarbrough Plant under the railroad right of way. This tunnel needs to be evaluated for structural integrity and minimum cover if vehicular traffic is increased along the south face of the Yarbrough Plant.

Electric and Telecommunications

An existing set of switches is located at the west face of the Yarbrough Plant. This set of switches is reaching the end of its service life and should be considered for relocation and replacement. The location of this switch is directly obstructing a potential connection between Stinson Drive and a re-aligned Yarbrough Drive.

An existing generator provides emergency backup for the Yarbrough Plant, its current location is within the Railroad Right of Way. A new location for this generator should be identified outside of the Right of way.

Natural Gas

The main gas distribution connection for north campus is located south of the Yarbrough Plant. This location is currently within the railroad right of way. Relocating this distribution connection will need to be evaluated further with PSNC as it is directly tied to the gas main they have located within the railroad right of way.



Figure 9a: Utilities composite map - west





Figure 9b: Utilities composite map - east

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

SECTIONS OF EXISTING CONDITIONS



0 20 40 80 FT

To visualize the topographic and physical constraints of the corridor, the planning team has developed sections through the project area. The locations for these section cuts relate to locations identified for future development, areas of existing physical constraints, and at major intersection points.

These sections are based on preliminary information provided by NC State University and are to be used for visualization only. Prior to implementing the design process, the planning team recommends a detailed survey be complete for the specific project area mapping existing topography, pavements, underground utilities, parking capacity and assignment, and building faces including subsurface encroachments along the railroad right of way.

Figure 10: Existing sections key map



Photo 16: View of Dan Allen Drive, looking north towards Yarbrough Drive



Photo 17: View of Dan Allen Drive, looking north towards Hillsborough Street, taken from Yarbrough Drive



Figure 11: Existing section - A, looking east

EXISTING SECTION A



YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

EXISTING SECTION B





Photo 17: View of Yarbrough Drive, looking east from Dan Allen Drive



Figure 12: Existing section - B, looking east



Photo 18: View of Yarbrough Drive at Fox Labs, looking west



Photo 19: View of Diesel Building (Broughton Hall). Pedestrian bridge and Broughton Hall Photo 20: View of Coliseum Tunnel, north entry. Significant grade change exists between replace this building. Second floor is approximate to bridge connection height.

parking area and tunnel entry.



Figure 13: Existing section - C, looking east

EXISTING SECTION C



1001	14.15
7% SLOPE (RAMP REQUIRING HANDRAILS AND LANDINGS)	
Talley Student Center	
	7% SLOPE (RAMP REQUIRING HANDRAILS AND LANDINGS)

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

EXISTING SECTION D





Photo 21: View of Mann Hall service area, visible from pedestrian walkways.





Photo 22: View of Yarbrough Drive, looking west from Yarbrough Plant



Figure 15: Existing section - E, looking east

EXISTING SECTION E





EXISTING SECTION F





Photo 25: View access ramp fro Plant



Figure 16: Existing section - F, looking east

Photo 25: View access ramp from parking area to service yard along south face of Yarbrough



Photo 26: View of parking area east of existing field house, North Deck Photo 27: View of East Tunnel, rail road above asphalt in foreground. parking project will remove this parking. Field house is approved for demolition 2013 calender year.





Figure 17: Existing section - G, looking east

EXISTING SECTION G





EXISTING SECTION H





Photo 28: View of Baver Drive, Syme Residence Hall to left of photo.



Photo 29: View of waste management area, on axis with Syme Courtyard.



Figure 18: Existing section - H, looking east

Photo 30: View of Syme Courtyard, standing at curb looking north from waste management area.



Legend		Building Reference
~ /	EXISTING ROADWAY	A. David Clark Labs
		B. Fox Science Teaching Lab
	PROPOSED ROADWAY	C. Thomas Hall
		D. Dabney/Cox Halls
	PROPOSED ROADWAY	E. Bureau of Mines
		F. Broughton Hall
	SERVICE ACCESS ROADS	G. Mann Hall
	SERVICE ACCESS ROADS	H. Riddick Hall
	TRAFFIC FLOW	I. Yarbrough Plant
K K .		J. Syme Residence Hall
		K. Future North Parking Deck
N		Ū.
0	100 200 ft	

Figure 19: Concept Diagram- Vehicular circulation

This diagram provides the following benefits:

- Mediates risk to mission critical areas behind the Yarbrough Plant
- Maintains existing bus stops and bus circulation
- Maintains service yard functionality by limiting access through the service yard
- **Broughton Hall**
- Allows for improved truck maneuverability along Yarbrough Drive Impacts to the existing condition will include:

- Reduced parking capacity along Yarbrough Drive
- Requires high reliance on Stinson Drive to provide westbound traffic access to Yarbrough Drive.
- Restricted access behind the plant

CONCEPT DIAGRAM

Working with key stakeholders within NC State University, the planning team developed several concept diagrams depicting the vehicular circulation options for the project area. The stakeholder group selected the scheme shown in the adjacent diagram.

- Provides minimum vehicular clearance while significantly reducing the bridge height at

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

OVERALL CONCEPT PLAN



Figure 20a: Rendered concept plan - west

- C. Thomas Hall
- D. Dabney/Cox Halls
- E. Bureau of Mines
- F. Broughton Hall
- G. Mann Hall
- H. Riddick Hall
- I. Yarbrough Plant
- J. Syme Residence Hall
- K. Future North Parking Deck
- L. Gold Residence Hall



- B. Fox Science Teaching Lab
- C. Thomas Hall
- D. Dabney/Cox Halls
- E. Bureau of Mines
- F. Broughton Hall
- G. Mann Hall

30

- H. Riddick Hall
- I. Yarbrough Plant
- J. Syme Residence Hall
- K. Future North Parking Deck
- L. Gold Residence Hall

N 0 20 40 80 FT

Figure 20b: Rendered concept plan - east

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

ENLARGED CONCEPT KEY PLAN



Figure 21: Concept Key Plan

- A. David Clark Labs B. Fox Science Teaching Lab C. Thomas Hall D. Dabney/Cox Halls E. Bureau of Mines F. Broughton Hall G. Mann Hall H. Riddick Hall

- I. Yarbrough Plant J. Syme Residence Hall K. Future North Parking Deck
- L. Gold Residence Hall



- 2 Vegetative screening of service area
- 3 Sidewalk and streetscape improvements
- 4 Maintain existing access control
- 5 Landscape enhancements along Fox Labs
- 6 Traffic calming through textured paving and speed tables
- 7 Construct enclosure for dumpsters and storage
- Pedestrian crossing to rail station 8

C. Thomas Hall D. Dabney/Cox Halls E. Bureau of Mines F. Broughton Hall G. Mann Hall H. Riddick Hall I. Yarbrough Plant J. Syme Residence Hall K. Future North Parking Deck L. Gold Residence Hall

Figure 22: Area A concept plan and legend

AREA A CONCEPTS

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

AREA B CONCEPTS



- **1** Stinson Drive width reduction see Broughton Project
- 2 Remove old Yarbrough alignment, replace with pedestrian pathway
- 3 Maintain service access to Mann and Riddick Halls
- 4 Demonstration project for storm water management
- 5 New service access to provide "loop"
- 6 Access control
- Widen to two-way travel
- 8 New Yarbrough Drive alignment, allows for reduced bridge height



- A. David Clark Labs
- B. Fox Science Teaching Lab
- C. Thomas Hall
- D. Dabney/Cox Halls
- E. Bureau of Mines
- F. Broughton Hall
- G. Mann Hall
- H. Riddick Hall
- I. Yarbrough Plant
- J. Syme Residence Hall
- K. Future North Parking Deck
- L. Gold Residence Hall

Figure 23: Area B concept plan and legend



- 1 Access drive through service yard, one lane 20ft width
- 2 Secure plant vehicle storage
- 3 Storm water demonstration and pedestrian pathways
- 4 Access control pedestrian and vehicular
- 5 Pedestrian crossing at tunnel, tie into parking deck ramp
- 6 Modify alignment of Boney, add sidewalk and streetscape
- Maintain access control
- 8 Relocated generator
- 9 Relocated Fuel Oil Truck lay-by lane and filling point



Barrier to deter students from cutting through service yard

AREA C CONCEPTS



- A. David Clark Labs
- B. Fox Science Teaching Lab
- C. Thomas Hall
- D. Dabney/Cox Halls
- E. Bureau of Mines
- F. Broughton Hall
- G. Mann Hall
- H. Riddick Hall
- I. Yarbrough Plant
- J. Syme Residence Hall
- K. Future North Parking Deck

35

L. Gold Residence Hall

Figure 24: Area C concept plan and legend



Figure 25: Existing Parking Inventory by NCSU



Net loss: 79 spaces

Parking Impacts shown do not include the North Deck Project's impacts to the Boney Parking Lot. Realignment of Boney is included in the forecasted parking impacts if Yarbrough Drive project is constructed ahead of parking garage.

Figure 26: Estimated parking impacts


Figure 27: Implementation Key Plan

The key to the Yarbrough Drive Master Plan's success will be a coordinated implementation plan. While the vision for the Master Plan is based on a long range outlook for the entire Yarbrough Drive Corridor, several related projects must be completed for this vision to be realized; these projects include the North Parking Deck, Talley Student Center Pedestrian Bridge, and the Broughton Hall Addition and Renovation. NC State should consider the implementation of the Yarbrough Drive improvements in conjunction with these other projects.

A phasing plan has been developed to allow for prioritization of the Yarbrough Drive development goals. The phasing plan is found on the following page.

Cost opinions have been developed for these phases. The cost opinions are totals of the subareas shown in the figure above.

IMPLEMENTATION

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

37

PHASING



Figure 28: Concept phasing plan

SEE AREA A COST OPINION

- Install landscape screening around service area
- Streetscape enhancements along Fox Labs and south edge of Yarbrough Drive
- Pedestrian Crossing improvements
- Traffic Calming features to slow traffic
- Traffic calming features
- Improve streetscape along west curb line of Stinson Drive
- Enhance service area serving Bureau of Mines and Dabney/Cox
- Bus shelter improvements

SEE AREA B COST OPINION

Phase B1

- Demolition and removal of Yarbrough Drive (north leg behind Mann and Broughton)*
- Demolition and removal of pedestrian crossing at Coliseum Tunnel (maintain tunnel for utilities - secure access points)
- Service yard improvements behind Mann and Riddick Halls
- Sidewalk connections between stinson drive and pedestrian bridge

*Requires construction of pedestrian bridge

Phase B2

- Relocation of electrical switch gear at Yarbrough Plant (west side)
- Construct two way drive aisle through existing parking area, remove parking.
- Construct connector from Yarbrough (phase III) to new drive aisle

SEE AREA C COST OPINION

- Accessible Ramp Improvements at East Tunnel
- Boney Drive realignment

• Install access control points west end of Yarbrough Plant service alley.

• Streetscape improvements along Boney Drive and new Service Road (Yarbrough Extension)

Figure 29: Area A cost opinion spreadsheet

	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Site Preparation	÷ = +			
Clearing and Grubbing	0.50		\$6,500	\$3,25
Demolition	1	LS	\$10,000	\$10,00
Bulk Grading - Balance Site	150	CY	\$9	\$1,27
Storm Ponds	0		\$0	5
Storm Piping and Structures	200	LF	\$50	\$10,00
Erosion Control	1	LS	\$15,000	\$15,00
nfrastructure				
Vater Supply Mains and Structures	0	LF	\$0	9
Sewer - Base Installation including manholes	0	LF	\$0	9
Sewer - Main along interior service road	0	LS	\$0	9
Natural Gas	0	LF	\$0	9
Power & communications - duct bank	0	LF	\$0	9
Handholes (power and telecom)	0	Ea	\$0	ç
Manholes (power and telecom)	0	Ea	\$0	ç
Hot Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$0	9
Chilled Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$0	
Site Improvements				
Brick Walks with Concrete underslab	7,500	SF	\$10	\$75,00
Vayfinding Signage	1	Lump sum	\$30,000	\$30.00
Furniture and Bike racks	1		\$30,000	\$30.00
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry	1,000	Sq Ft. Face	\$65	\$65,00
Fraffic Improvements				
Surface lot -				
ight duty asphalt, base, striping,				
and curb and gutter	0	pk space	\$0	5
Access drives and service courts	0	ĹF	\$0	
Bus drop off -				
ID concrete loading zone, lighting, directory,				
covered shelter	1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,00
ighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	6	Ea.	\$3,500	\$21,00
ighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	15	Ea.	\$3,500	\$52,50
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	0	Ea.	\$5,000	
Traffic calming/textured crossings	3	Ea.	\$30,000	\$90.00
Access Controls (equipment improvements)	1	Ea.	\$7,500	\$7,50
andscaping			I	
rees	30	Ea.	\$325	\$9,7
Evergreen Trees	20	Ea.	\$280	\$5.60
Flowering trees	20	Ea.	\$250	\$5,00
Shrubs	300	Ea.	\$45	\$13,50
Furf	50	1000 sf	\$435	\$21,7



 Improve pedestrian connections at future rail station
2 Vegetative screening of service area
3 Sidewalk and streetscape improvements
Maintain existing access control
5 Landscape enhancements along Fox Labs
6 Traffic calming through textured paving and speed tables
Construct enclosure for dumpsters and storage
8 Pedestrian crossing to rail station

Figure 30: Area A cost opinion reference plan

Costs based on first quarter 2013 construction costs

Total does not include design services, contractor fees, contingencies, and escalation

AREA A COST OPINION



AREA B1 COST OPINION

Figure 32: Area B1 cost opinion spreadsheet



- 1 Stinson Drive width reduction see Broughton Project
- 2 Remove old Yarbrough alignment, replace with pedestrian pathway
- 3 Maintain service access to Mann and Riddick Halls
- **4** Demonstration project for storm water management
- **5** New service access to provide "loop"
- 6 Widen to two-way travel
- **7** New Yarbrough Drive alignment, allows for reduced bridge height

Figure 31: Area B1 cost opinion reference plan



ulk Grading - Balance Site
torm Ponds
torm Piping and Structures
rosion Control
nfrastructure

Site Work	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Site Preparation				
	· ·	00700	¢6 500	¢0.75
Clearing and Grubbing Demoilition - existing asphalt, curb and gutter, sidewalks, ver	2	acres LS	\$6,500	\$9,75 \$100,00
		CY	\$100,000	
Bulk Grading - Balance Site Storm Ponds	100	Ea.	\$25 \$70,000	\$2,50 \$70,00
Storm Ponds Storm Piping and Structures	-	La.		
Erosion Control	1,000	LF	\$50 \$50.000	\$50,00 \$50.00
Erosion Control	1	15	\$50,000	\$50,00
Infrastructure				
Water Supply Mains and Structures	100	LF	\$125	\$12,50
Sewer Mains and Structures	0	LF	\$0	
Natural Gas	0	LF	\$75	ç
Power & communications - duct bank	450	LF	\$100	\$45,00
-Handholes (power and telecom)	5	Ea	\$1,400	\$7,00
- Manholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$6,000	\$18,00
Steam Tunnel Reinforcement*	25	LF	\$5,000	\$125,00
Chilled Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$200	
Site Improvements				
Brick Walks with Concrete Underslab	7.500	SF	\$10	\$75,0
Wayfinding Signage	1,000	_	\$20,000	\$20,0
Furniture and Bike racks			\$75,000	\$75,0
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry		Sq Ft. Face	\$30	\$76,3
	2,011	041.1000	400	φι ο,οι
Traffic Improvenments				
Surface lot -				
light duty asphalt, base, striping,				
and curb and gutter	60	pk space	\$2,300	\$138,0
Access drives and service court	1,200	LF	\$70	\$84,0
Bus drop off -				
HD concrete loading zone, lighting, directory,				
covered shelter	1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,0
Lighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	5	Ea.	\$3,500	\$17,5
Lighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	8	Ea.	\$3,500	\$28,0
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	0	Ea.	\$5,000	
Traffic calming/textured crossings	1	Ea.	\$30,000	\$30,0
Access Controls- New Equipment	1	Ea.	\$15,000	\$15,0
Landscaping				
Trees	10	Ea.	\$325	\$3,2
Evergreen Trees	10	Ea.	\$280	\$2,8
Flowering trees	15	Ea.	\$250	\$3,7
Shrubs	100	Ea.	\$45	\$4,5
Turf	15	1000 sf	\$475	\$7,1
Parking Offset				
Spaces Removed	25	Ea	\$17,500	\$437,5
Area B Construction Total				\$1,557,

Site Work	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Site Preparation				
Clearing and Grubbing	2	acres	\$6,500	\$9,750
Demoilition - existing asphalt, curb and gutter, sidewalks, ve	1	LS	\$100,000	\$100,000
Bulk Grading - Balance Site	100	CY	\$25	\$2,500
Storm Ponds	1	Ea.	\$70,000	\$70,000
Storm Piping and Structures	1,000	LF	\$50	\$50,000
Erosion Control	1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,000
Infrastructure				
Water Supply Mains and Structures	100	LF	\$125	\$12,500
Sewer Mains and Structures	0	LF	\$0	\$0
Natural Gas	0	LF	\$75	\$0
Power & communications - duct bank	450	LF	\$100	\$45,000
-Handholes (power and telecom)	5	Ea	\$1,400	\$7,000
- Manholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$6,000	\$18,000
Steam Tunnel Reinforcement*	25	LF	\$5,000	\$125,000
Chilled Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$200	\$0
Cite Improvemente				
Site Improvements Brick Walks with Concrete Underslab	7.500	SF	\$10	\$75,000
Wayfinding Signage	7,500	-	\$20,000	\$75,000 \$20,000
Furniture and Bike racks	1		\$20,000	\$20,000
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry		Sq Ft. Face	\$75,000	\$75,000 \$76,320
Screen waii - architectural block/blick masonry	2,344	Sy FL Face	\$3U	\$70,320
Traffic Improvenments				
Surface lot -				
light duty asphalt, base, striping,				
and curb and gutter	60	pk space	\$2,300	\$138,000
Access drives and service court	1,200	LF	\$70	\$84,000
Bus drop off -				
HD concrete loading zone, lighting, directory,				
covered shelter	1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,000
Lighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	5	Ea.	\$3,500	\$17,500
Lighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	8	Ea.	\$3,500	\$28,000
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	0	Ea.	\$5,000	\$0
Traffic calming/textured crossings	1	Ea.	\$30,000	\$30,000
Access Controls- New Equipment	1	Ea.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Landscaping				
Trees	10	Ea.	\$325	\$3,250
Evergreen Trees	10	Ea.	\$280	\$2,800
Flowering trees	15	Ea.	\$250	\$3,750
Shrubs	100	Ea.	\$45	\$4,500
Turf	15	1000 sf	\$475	\$7,125
Parking Offset Spaces Removed	25	Ea	\$17,500	\$437,500
	20	La	φτη,500	φ+37,500
Area B Construction Total		L		\$1,557,495

Site Work	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Cite Dremonstion				
Site Preparation	0		#C 500	<u>۴0 7 ۲</u>
Clearing and Grubbing Demoilition - existing asphalt, curb and gutter, sidewalks, ver	2	acres LS	\$6,500 \$100,000	\$9,750 \$100,000
Bulk Grading - Balance Site	100	CY	\$100,000	
Storm Ponds	100	Ea.	\$25 \$70,000	\$2,500 \$70,000
Storm Poinds Storm Piping and Structures	1.000	⊑a. LF	\$70,000	\$70,000
Erosion Control	1,000	LF	\$50 \$50.000	\$50,000
	I	10	\$50,000	\$50,000
Infrastructure				
Water Supply Mains and Structures	100	LF	\$125	\$12,500
Sewer Mains and Structures	0	LF	\$0	\$0
Natural Gas	0	LF	\$75	\$0
Power & communications - duct bank	450	LF	\$100	\$45,000
-Handholes (power and telecom)	5	Ea	\$1,400	\$7,000
- Manholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$6,000	\$18,000
Steam Tunnel Reinforcement*	25	LF	\$5,000	\$125,000
Chilled Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$200	\$0
Site Improvements				
Brick Walks with Concrete Underslab	7.500	SF	\$10	\$75,000
Wayfinding Signage	1,000	-	\$20,000	\$20,000
Furniture and Bike racks	1		\$75,000	\$75,000
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry		Sq Ft. Face	\$30	\$76,320
	2,044	0411.1400	400	φ70,320
Traffic Improvenments				
Surface lot -				
light duty asphalt, base, striping,				
and curb and gutter	60	pk space	\$2,300	\$138,000
Access drives and service court	1,200	LF	\$70	\$84,000
Bus drop off -				
HD concrete loading zone, lighting, directory,				
covered shelter	1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,000
Lighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	5	Ea.	\$3,500	\$17,500
Lighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	8	Ea.	\$3,500	\$28,000
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	0	Ea.	\$5,000	\$0
Traffic calming/textured crossings	1	Ea.	\$30,000	\$30,000
Access Controls- New Equipment	1	Ea.	\$15,000	\$15,000
Landscaping				
Trees	10	Ea.	\$325	\$3,250
Evergreen Trees	10	Ea.	\$280	\$2,800
Flowering trees	15	Ea.	\$250	\$3,750
Shrubs	100	Ea.	\$45	\$4,500
Turf	15	1000 sf	\$475	\$7,125
Darking Offact				
Parking Offset Spaces Removed	25	Ea	\$17,500	\$437,500
	20		¢,000	\$.01,000
Area B Construction Total		·		\$1,557,495

Site Work	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Site Preparation				
	· ·	00700	¢6 500	¢0.75
Clearing and Grubbing Demoilition - existing asphalt, curb and gutter, sidewalks, ve	2	acres LS	\$6,500 \$100,000	\$9,75 \$100,00
Bulk Grading - Balance Site		CY	. ,	
Storm Ponds	100	Ea.	\$25 \$70,000	\$2,50 \$70,00
Storm Ponds Storm Piping and Structures		Ea. LF		
Erosion Control	1,000	LF	\$50 \$50.000	\$50,00 \$50.00
	1	L3	\$50,000	ა 50,00
Infrastructure				
Water Supply Mains and Structures	100	LF	\$125	\$12,50
Sewer Mains and Structures	0	LF	\$0	\$
Natural Gas	0	LF	\$75	9
Power & communications - duct bank	450	LF	\$100	\$45,00
-Handholes (power and telecom)	5	Ea	\$1,400	\$7,00
- Manholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$6,000	\$18,00
Steam Tunnel Reinforcement*	25	LF	\$5,000	\$125,00
Chilled Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$200	\$
Site Improvements				
Brick Walks with Concrete Underslab	7.500	SF	\$10	\$75,00
Wayfinding Signage	1	Lump sum	\$20,000	\$20,00
Furniture and Bike racks	1	Lump sum	\$75,000	\$75,00
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry		Sq Ft. Face	\$30	\$76,32
Traffic Improvenments				
Surface lot -				
light duty asphalt, base, striping,				
and curb and gutter	60	pk space	\$2,300	\$138,00
Access drives and service court	1,200	LF	\$70	\$84,00
Bus drop off -				
HD concrete loading zone, lighting, directory,				* =0.00
covered shelter	1	LS	\$50,000	\$50,00
Lighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	5	Ea.	\$3,500	\$17,50
Lighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	8	Ea.	\$3,500	\$28,00
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	0	Ea.	\$5,000	() ()
Traffic calming/textured crossings Access Controls- New Equipment	1	Ea. Ea.	\$30,000 \$15,000	\$30,00 \$15,00
				+,.
Landscaping				
Trees	10	Ea.	\$325	\$3,25
Evergreen Trees	10	Ea.	\$280	\$2,80
Flowering trees	15	Ea.	\$250	\$3,75
Shrubs	100	Ea.	\$45	\$4,50
Turf	15	1000 sf	\$475	\$7,12
Parking Offset				
Spaces Removed	25	Ea	\$17,500	\$437,50
				\$1,557,49

Costs based on first quarter 2013 construction costs

Total does not include design services, contractor fees, contingencies, and escalation

*Steam tunnel reinforcement costs need to be evaluated further pending a detailed review and analysis of the existing tunnel conditi

Figure 33: Area B2 cost opinion spreadsheet

Site Work	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Site Preparation				
Clearing and Grubbing	1	acres	\$6,500	\$3,25
Demoilition - existing asphalt, curb and gutter, sidewalks, ve	1		\$150,000	\$150,00
Bulk Grading - Balance Site	150	CY	\$30	\$4,50
Storm Ponds	1	Ea.	\$70,000	\$70,00
Storm Piping and Structures	550	LF	\$50	\$27,50
Erosion Control	1	LS	\$25,000	\$25,00
Infrastructure				
Water Supply Mains and Structures	100	LF	\$125	\$12,50
Sewer Mains and Structures	0	LF	\$0	\$
Natural Gas	0	LF	\$75	\$
Power & communications - duct bank	400	LF	\$250	\$100,00
Handholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$1,400	\$4.20
- Manholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$6,000	\$18,00
Steam Tunnel Reinforcement	10	LE	\$1,000	\$10.00
Chilled Water Piping within Tunnel (non walkable)	0	LF	\$200	\$
Switchgear relocation	1	LI	\$150,000	\$150,00
*		20	\$ 100,000	\$100,00
Site Improvements				
Brick Walks with Concrete Underslab	1,200	-	\$10	\$12,00
Wayfinding Signage	1	Lump sum	\$5,000	\$5,00
Furniture and Bike racks		Lump sum	\$10,000	\$10,00
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry	0	Sq Ft. Face	\$30	\$
Traffic Improvenments				
Surface lot -				
light duty asphalt-patching and resurfacing, striping,				
and curb and gutter patching	45	pk space	\$1,500	\$67,50
Access drives and service court	750	ĹF	\$70	\$52,50
Bus drop off -				
HD concrete loading zone, lighting, directory,				
covered shelter	0	LS	\$50,000	\$
Lighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	6	Ea.	\$3,500	\$21,00
Lighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	5	Ea.	\$3,500	\$17,50
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	1	Ea.	\$5,000	\$5.00
Traffic calming/textured crossings	0	Ea.	\$30,000	\$
Access Controls- New Equipment	1	Ea.	\$15,000	\$15,00
Landscaping				
Trees	8	Ea.	\$325	\$2,60
Evergreen Trees	5	Ea.	\$280	\$1,40
Flowering trees	10	Ea.	\$250	\$2,50
Shrubs	70	Ea.	\$45	\$3,15
Turf	2	1000 sf	\$475	\$95
Parking Offset				
Spaces Removed	19	Ea	\$17,500	\$332,50
	13	Lu	φ17,000	φ332,30
Area B Construction Total				\$1,123,55

1 Rebuild parking area and Yarbrough Drive

2 Relocate existing switchgear and remove existing structure, reinforce steam tunnel, complete connection between Yarbrough and Stinson

3 Access Control

4 Sidewalk and landscape improvments

Costs based on first quarter 2013 construction costs

Total does not include design services, contractor fees, contingencies, and escalation

Figure 34: Area B2 cost opinion reference plan

AREA B2 COST OPINION







Figure 35: Area C cost opinion spreadsheet

Site Work	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Cost
Site Preparation				
	1		¢0 500	¢0.05
Clearing and Grubbing Demolition (does not include field house)	1		\$6,500 \$100,000	\$3,25
Bulk Grading - Balance Site	100	LS CY	\$100,000	\$100,00 \$3.50
Storm Ponds	2	Ea.	\$35	\$3,50
Storm Piping and Structures	1,000	∟a. LF	\$70,000	\$140,00
Erosion Control	1,000	LF	\$50,000	\$50,00
nfrastructure				
Water Supply Mains and Structures	150	LF	\$125	\$18,75
Sewer - Main	0	LF	\$153,375	¢۱۵,75 ۹
Natural Gas - meter station relocation	1	LS	\$153,375	\$125,00
Power & communications - duct bank	500	LS	\$125,000	\$75,00
Handholes (power and telecom)	500	Ea	\$1,400	\$7.00
Manholes (power and telecom)	3	Ea	\$6,000	\$18,00
Steam Tunnel Reinforcement	50	La	\$1,000	\$50.00
Chilled Water Piping - direct bury	50	LF	\$500	\$25,00
Generator relocation(does not include cost of new generator	1	LS	\$150,000	\$150,00
Site Improvemente				
Site Improvements Brick Walks with Concrete Underslab	12.000	SF	\$10	\$120.00
Nayfinding Signage	12,000	-	\$30,000	\$120,00
Furniture and Bike racks	1		\$30,000	\$30,00
Retaining Wall and ramps at tunnel	1		\$125,000	\$125,00
Screen Wall - architectural block/brick masonry		Sq Ft. Face	\$30	\$54,00
Traffic Improvements				
Surface lot -				
ight duty asphalt resurfacing	45	pk space	\$1,000	\$45,00
Heavy Duty Concrete - laydown yard space	3,000	SF	\$45	\$135,00
Access drive at south of plant and service court	600	LF	\$70	\$42,00
Boney Drive intersection realignment	150	LF	\$150	\$22,50
Dil Fill Relocation				
HD concrete loading zone, lighting, fill station	1	LS	\$120,000	\$120,00
_ighting fixtures - Parking Lot - LED	4	Ea.	\$3,500	\$14,00
_ighting fixtures - Street lights - LED	8	Ea.	\$3,500	\$28,00
Blue Lights/Security - wireless call boxes with wired power	0	-	\$5,000	9
Access Control points	2	Ea.	\$15,000	\$30,00
Landscape Enhancments				
Trees	10	Ea.	\$325	\$3,25
Evergreen Trees	5	Ea.	\$280	\$1,40
Flowering trees	5	Ea.	\$250	\$1,25
Shrubs	100	Ea.	\$45	\$4,50
Turf	5	1000sf	\$435	\$2,17
Parking Offset				
Spaces Removed	35	Ea	\$17,500	\$612,50



- 1 Access drive through service yard, one lane 20ft width
- 2 Secure plant vehicle storage
- **3** Storm water demonstration and pedestrian pathways
- 4 Access control
- **5** Pedestrian crossing at tunnel, tie into parking deck ramp
- 6 Modify alignment of Boney, add sidewalk and streetscape
- 7 Maintain access control
- 8 Relocated generator
- 9 Relocated Fuel Oil Truck lay-by lane and filling point

Figure 36: Area C cost opinion reference plan

10 Barrier to deter students from cutting through service yard

Costs based on first quarter 2013 construction costs Total does not include design services, contractor fees, contingencies, and escalation

AREA C COST OPINION



Appendix Contents Workshop 1 - Meeting M Workshop 2 - Meeting M Workshop 3 - Meeting M Workshop 4 - Meeting M Workshop 5 - Meeting M Workshop 6 - Meeting M Review Comments......

APPENDIX

1 inutes	44
1inutes	46
1inutes	48
1inutes	49
1inutes	50
1inutes	51
	53

YARBROUGH DRIVE MASTER PLAN

43

WORKSHOP 1 - MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes

Project: Work Session 1	NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP	Project Number:	2010057.01
Purpose: Meeting Location: Meeting Date: Prepared By:	Kick Off / orientation meeting NCSU 10/29/12 Jay W. Smith RLA, ASLA, LEED AP		

Attendees:					
Name	Company	Initials	Name	Company	Initials
Jay W. Smith	O/A	JS	Ralph Recche	NCSU	RR
Jonathan Parsons	O/A	JP	Tom Kendig	NCSU	ΤK
Bill Davis	NCSU	BD	Pete Fraccaroli	NCSU	PF
Carolyn Axtman	NCSU	CA	Alan Daeke	NCSU	AD
Lisa Johnson	NCSU	LJ	Jeff Hightower	NCSU	JH
Robert Mathes, Jr	NCSU	RM	Cameron Smith	NCSU	CS
Dave Josephus	NCSU	DJ			

Distribution:		
Name	Company	
Tom Skolnicki	NCSU	All Attendees
Rick Ericson	O/A	O/A File 201057.01

Bold type initials below indicates action items that need to be addressed at next meeting.

ITEMS	NOTES/ ISSUES/ ACTION	RESP	DUE DATE
1.0	JS welcomed everyone and the meeting's agenda was reviewed.	Record	
2.0	JS asked if all attending would be able to continue their attendance at future meetings and or send someone to represent their interest – all agreed they could attend or send someone.	Record	
2.1	JS asked if there was anyone <u>not</u> at the table who interest needed to be represented in the project. The group agreed that 'Grounds' and 'Recycling' should be added to the stakeholders list. BD will contact them and invite them to the next work session.	BD	11-1-12
3.1	JS presented the scope and extents of the project. A large base map of the project area was reviewed and the group agreed that it correctly represented the project / study area.	Record	
3.2	LJ asked O/A to reference the 'project scope statement' written by NCSU and monitor and track progress against it.	Record	
3.3	Future RxR light rail / commuter rail plans were discussed. NCSU said these were available - BD will find them send to O/A (o/a will also look for them other resources related to rail lines and their expansion)	BD	11-8-12
4.0	 JS asked the group what the key issues were regarding Yarbrough Drive and the project at large. The following were the responses: The team will need to understand the railroad ROW issues and easements etc The vast majority of the Yarbrough drive study area is within 	Record	

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

the RxR ROW. • Yarbrough Drive

- Yarbrough Drive is a key service road and has critical utility functions for the entire campus.
- Many key utilities are within the Yarbrough Drive confines ranging from the PSNC natural gas lines & gas meters at th Yarbrough drive utility plant, storm water, sanitary sewer & water lines, Sprint communication lines etc...
- Special Utility Note: The Sprint telecomm line(s) and PSNC gas meters / lines will be difficult to move and will need to b worked around as they are now.
- The dumpster locations at Syme / Gold/ Welch buildings along Baver Drive are important and need to be considered the planning.
- Pedestrian crossings are very important at the RxR The pedestrian tunnel at Reynolds may be eliminated in the futu – the team will evaluate this recommendation.
- A new signal and gate arms are in place at Dan Allen Drive this will need to factor into plans for Yarbrough Drives circulation.
- It was expressed that Yarbrough drive should connect throu to Pullen Drive. The old Riddick field house lies in the direct path if this connection is made.
- NCSU stated that if they have permission to demolish Ridd Field house. The team will continue to evaluate this possibility.
- Yarborough Dr is now one way traffic flow the team asked two way options are possible – while not likely – the team w look into that possibility.
- Large trucks currently make difficult turns to access the campus and get to Yarbrough Dr – in particular fuel oil truc The team will need to examine truck turning conditions and look to improve them.
- It was stated that the primary role of Yarbrough Dr was to b a primary service road that supports the function and utility the campus.
- It was stated that Yarbrough Dr is <u>not</u> a heavy pedestrian circulation pattern – however a good number of parking spaces are located along it and need to be maintained.
- The height and conditions of the future pedestrian bridge crossing the RxR ROW and Yarbrough Dr from the Talley Student Center are to be examined.
- The subject of how to phase the Yarbrough Dr recommendations was discussed the team will look examine this and make recommendations.
- 4.1 The Wolf Line bus plans / routes are needed TK will get these and send to BD -
- 4.2 The RxR right of way conditions were discussed extensively. The R easements and legalities need to be confirmed. The team discussed the need to at least understand what NCSU's minimal requirements would be if the RxR were to take back the full Right of Way. It was agreed this would be looked at for internal purposes only and not a report recommendation.

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

у		
– he		
C be		
d in		
ure		
e –		
ough ct		
dick		
ed if will		
cks. d		
be / of		
nd	ТК	11-8-12
RxR ∋d ∶s	Record	
a		

4.3	BD will send link to RxR easements to O/A for their review.	BD	11-8-12
4.4	An exhibit was presented that illustrated how much of the project area O/A currently has base / survey info for. BD will send O/A the additional areas needed.	BD	11-8-12
5.0	JS reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work sessions: • Work session 2 – Nov 8 2:00 – 3:30 • Work session 3 Nov 27 10:00 – 12:30 • Work session 4 Dec 12 3:00 – 4:30 • Work session 5 Jan 10 1:00 – 3:30 • Work session 6 Feb 11 1:00 – 2:30	Record	
6.0	The meeting was concluded	Record	

Make any corrections or edits to the writer as soon as possible

Attachments:

Schedule / work session focus RxR plans

NCSU - Yarbrough Drive Master Plan

Project Schedule and Work Session Focus

Work session 1 - Kick off.....October 29, 2012

- Kick off meeting with planning team
- Discuss project issues/ ID keys to success

Work session 2 – Preliminary Concepts.....November 8, 2012

- Present preliminary concepts / approaches
- Utility progress / update

Work session 3 - Concept Update and Utilities.....November 27, 2012

- Present utility findings
- Concept plans review select preferred alternative
- Transportation progress / update

Work session 4 – Concept refinement & Transportation.......December 12, 2012

- Present selected plan alternative
- Present transportation findings
- Report outline draft

Work session 5 – Final plan.....January 10, 21012

- Final plan adjustments & sign off
- Review report draft

Work session 6 – Present Draft MP......February 11, 2012

• Present final draft report



WORKSHOP 2 - MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes

Project:	NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP	Project Number:	2010057.01
Work Session 1 Purpose:	Site assessment / preliminary concepts		
Meeting Location:	NCSU		
Meeting Date:	11/8/12		
Prepared By:	Jay W. Smith RLA, ASLA, LEED AP		

Attendees:					
Name	Company	Initials	Name	Company	Initials
Jay W. Smith	O/A	JS	Ralph Recchie	NCSU	RR
Jonathan Parsons	O/A	JP	Tom Kendig	NCSU	ТК
Rick Ericson	O/A	RE	Pete Fraccaroli	NCSU	PF
Lisa Johnson	NCSU	LJ	Alan Daeke	NCSU	AD
Robert Mathes, Jr	NCSU	RM	Jeff Hightower	NCSU	JH
Dave Josephus	NCSU	DJ	Cameron Smith	NCSU	CS
Sarah Ketchem	NCSU	SK	Tom Skolnicki	NCSU	TS
Bill Davis	NCSU	BD	Jeff Delpinal	NCSU	JD
			-		

Distribution:		
Name	Company	
All Attendees		
O/A File 201057.01		
Carolyn Axtman	NCSU	

Bold type initials below indicates action items that need to be addressed at next meeting.

ITEMS	NOTES/ ISSUES/ ACTION	RESP	DUE DATE
1.0	JS welcomed everyone and the meeting's agenda was reviewed.	Record	
2.0	 JS gave an update on the traffic study progress. The work will be done by Ramey Kemp Associates(RKA). The field work will begin the week of Nov.11. The three intersections to be evaluated are: Stinson and Dan Allen Stinson and Yarbrough Beaver and Pullen An update from RKA will be presented at the next work session. 	Record	
2.1	TK indicated that there is existing traffic information in the areas being studied by RKA. TK will find the info and send to O/A (through BD) so we can adjust our study areas if need be.	BD/ TK	a.s.a.p.
2.2	JS gave an overview of the easements and rights recorded in the RxR right of way. The first recorded documents reviewed date back 1904 and are as current as February 2012. The easements appear to be comprehensive in that they account for all the know improvements made by NCSU inside the RxR right of way. All agreements state that NCSU is responsible for the maintenance of the improvements and that if improvements state that NCSU 'holds harmless' the RxR for any claims, cost etc	Record	

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

3.1	Jonathan Parsons gave an overview of the project area through a
	series of photographs of the project area. Reactions and thoughts
	from those attending include the follow:

- There are parts of the Yarbrough drive walking areas that appear to be disconnected from the campus "it appears your leaving the campus"....
- The recycling areas need to be properly screened
- 2 of 3 tunnels are not ADA compliant due to their steps. A study of how to bring them into compliance was discussed
- TS offered that a parking deck plan (North Deck), in Design Development phase, is prepared for the Riddick Parking Lot area and it evaluated the ADA conditions at the tunnel. O/A will review these – BD to get us copies of the plans. Action BD 11-16-12
- Pedestrians are currently climbing a fence and jumping off retaining wall at the Yarbrough utility plant – team to look at alternate acceptable routes
- The boilers in the yard area at the plant are temporary and w be removed from the yard
- A fair amount of parking is occurring in the Yarbrough plant yard area – it is not formal or recognized on the campus parking plan – but a significant number of utility vehicles are parked in this location
- The team will consider the elimination of the pedestrian tunne at the Coliseum when the Talley pedestrian overpass is in place
- The team is look for a new location for the dumpsters at Bureau of mines / Dabney Cox
- It was noted that Dabney Cox has heavy deliveries gases etc...
- The location of the light rail station was discussed near Dan Allen Drive the team will factor this into the plans
- The site study area was asked to be extended at Syme Hall we will edit this area and show at the next work session
- There is a duct bank running through portions of Yarbrough drive. The extents of this duct bank need to be clarified

JP presented a Utility survey of the study area. It was noted by several that there is inaccurate information on the survey plans – especially in regard to the duct banks and chilled water lines. Also a water line exist at Pullen Drive at the bridge over the RxR. BD to mee with facility group to review this and provide us with a updated utility survey
It was mentioned that the light rail study in 2003? did a utility survey – BD to get a copy of that plan to the team
JP presented a 2 flow diagrams of the project area for pedestrians and vehicles
 JS informed the team that meetings will soon be held with key stakeholders including: TTA (Jaunita Swink) Steam Plant – key personnel

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

46

	Record	
vill		
el		
-		
	_	
	BD	11-20-12
et		
51		
-	BD	11-20-12
	Record	
	Record	
	Record	

4.1	A potential meeting with the RxR was discussed – however the team was directed <u>not</u> to meet with them at this time	Record	
4.2	The parking count is still needed in the project area	тк	a.s.a.p.
5.0	JS reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work session dates and times: • Work session 3 Nov 27 10:00 – 12:30 • Work session 4 Dec 12 3:00 – 4:30 • Work session 5 Jan 10 1:00 – 3:30 • Work session 6 Feb 11 1:00 – 2:30	Record	
6.0	The meeting was concluded	Record	

Make any corrections or edits to the writer as soon as possible

Post script – a few edits to the meeting minutes from work session #1 were offered after work session #2 was conducted – they are:

From 4.0 the following:

- New gate at Dan Allen is not currently in place. Please check NCSU transportation website for timeline.
- Facilities have permission to demolish Riddick Field House. Timeline TBD.
- Yarbrough Drive is currently two-way traffic until the road splits at the southern parking lots just past Stinson Drive (midway at Broughton Hall).
 Yarbrough Drive is not a major pedestrian pathway east to
- Yarbrough Drive is not a major pedestrian pathway east to west, however, it serves (3) tunnel access points north to south, which are major pedestrian pathways.

Attachments:

Plans presented at meeting including - Utility plan, traffic flow, pedestrian flow, service access and study area



WORKSHOP 3 - MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes

Project: Work Session 3	NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP	Project Number:	2010057.01
Purpose:	Traffic review / concept direction		
Meeting Location:	NCSU		
Meeting Date:	11/27/12		
Prepared By:	Jay W. Smith RLA, ASLA, LEED AP		

Attendees:					
Name	Company	Initials	Name	Company	Initials
Jay W. Smith	O/A	JS	Ralph Recchie	NCSU	RR
Jonathan Parsons	O/A	JP	Tom Kendig	NCSU	ΤK
Rynal Stephenson	RKA	RS	Alan Daeke	NCSU	AD
Lisa Johnson	NCSU	LJ	Jeff Hightower	NCSU	JH
Robert Mathes, Jr	NCSU	RM	Tom Skolnicki	NCSU	TS
Dave Josephus	NCSU	DJ	Jeff Delpinal	NCSU	JD
Bill Davis	NCSU	BD	Carolyn Axtman	NCSU	CA
Cameron Smith	NCSU	CS	-		

Distribution:			
Name	Company	Name	Company
All Attendees		Sarah Ketchem	NCSU
O/A File 201057.01		Pete Fraccaroli	NCSU
Rick Ericson	O/A		

Bold type initials below indicates action items that need to be addressed at next meeting.

ITEMS	NOTES/ ISSUES/ ACTION	RESP	DUE DATE
1.0	JS welcomed everyone and the meeting's agenda was reviewed.	Record	
2.0	 RS with Ramey Kemp Associates gave an overview of the traffic issues pertaining to the project area and the three intersections they studied. RS presented slides of the project area including preliminary recommendations on traffic flow. The following is the general exchange of comments from the group: The Yarbrough Drive intersection at Pullen road is very constrained – at best a 'right in – right out' arrangement might be considered or just one way condition to Boney drive. The group decided that the best course of action was to keep the Baver Drive portion of the study one-way only. Stinson will factor heavily into the Yarbrough traffic flow – one versus two way and hybrids thereof Bus stop locations on both Yarbrough and Stinson will be examined as well The Pullen Road extension project was mentioned – it was agreed it should not impact this project Regardless of one way / two way and alignments – the team should maximize the number of parking spaces along Yarbrough Drive 	Record	

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

	 and 'gaps' filled in – emphasis on pedestrian moves should be oriented toward the north and south i.e. Stinson & bridge and tunnel crossings The traffic flow diagrams will be refined and presented again at the next work session AD indicated that approx. 30-40 service vehicles park in the Yarbrough plant area 		
3.0	 JP gave an overview of the TTA station proposals in the RxR right of way. The following is the general exchange of comments from the group: The old TTA station location form 2005 - behind Broughton - is no longer desired Best location for a light rail station is going to be nearer to Dan Allen drive TTA has a 2011 schematic plan – O/A will try to get a copy of this plan JP reviewed the pedestrian bridge from Talley Center - the clearance where Yarbrough Dr goes beneath it should be a 	Record	
4.0	min of 13'-6" the team will continue to study this Items still needed for the planning team to proceed include: the North Deck parking. One logistics issue discussed was that the info booth at the Pullen Drive entrance to campus will be removed as part of the North Deck project. Restricted access to campus will be part of the construction project, but will begin west of the new deck. At that point, Boney Drive will not be restricted access.	TS/ LJ	a.s.a
4.1	Also still needed is the parking survey information	ТК	a.s.a
5.0	JP will meet with the Yarbrough plant staff on 11-28-12 to learn more specifically their needs and how the plant and its service area functions – JP will report on this meeting at the next work session	JP	12-4-1
5.1	JS informed the team that the next work session will include concepts for the project area	Record	
5.0	JS reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work session dates and times: • Work session 4 Dec 12 3:00 – 4:30 • Work session 5 Jan 10 1:00 – 3:30 • Work session 6 Feb 11 1:00 – 2:30	Record	
6.0	JS reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work session dates and times: • Work session 4 Dec 12 3:00 – 4:30 • Work session 5 Jan 10 1:00 – 3:30	Record	
	JS reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work session dates and times: • Work session 4 Dec 12 3:00 – 4:30 • Work session 5 Jan 10 1:00 – 3:30 • Work session 6 Feb 11 1:00 – 2:30		

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

Meeting Minutes

Project: Work Session 4	NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP	Project Number:	2010057.01
Purpose: Meeting Location: Meeting Date:	Concepts / options NCSU 12/12/12		
Prepared By: Attendees:	Jay W. Smith RLA, ASLA, LEED AP		

Name	Company	Initials	Name	Company	Initials
Jay W. Smith	O/A	JS	Ralph Recchie	NCSU	RR
Jonathan Parsons	O/A	JP	Tom Kendig	NCSU	ΤK
Rick Ericson	O/A	RE	Alan Daeke	NCSU	AD
Rynal Stephenson	RKA	RS	Jeff Hightower	NCSU	JH
Robert Mathes, Jr	NCSU	RM	Tom Skolnicki	NCSU	TS
Dave Josephus	NCSU	DJ	Pete Fraccaroli	NCSU	PF
Bill Davis	NCSU	BD	Carolyn Axtman	NCSU	CA
Cameron Smith	NCSU	CS			
Lisa Johnson	NCSU	LJ			

Distribution:			
Name	Company	Name	Company
All Attendees		Sarah Ketchem	NCSU
O/A File 201057.01		Jeff Delpinal	NCSU

Bold type initials below indicates action items that need to be addressed at next meeting.

ITEMS	NOTES/ ISSUES/ ACTION	RESP	DUE DATE
1.0	JS welcomed everyone and the meeting's agenda was reviewed.	Record	
2.0	JP gave and overview of his meetings with the Yarbrough Plant staff and waste management staff.	Record	
2.1	 JP gave an overview of constraints across the project area based on the information gained in above mentioned meetings. The following was noted by the group in that review: Many vehicles are parked behind the Bureau of Mines building – this is a congested area – it poses a safety issue. The sidewalk along the west side of Broughton on Stinson Street is tight / congested at equipment areas – this also poses a safety concern. The Harrelson Replacement project should remedy the service issues between Harrelson and Polk halls The service dock at Polk was noted as too short – congesting and pedestrian traffic poses a safety issue The 'Pit' at Mann Hall is difficult – 2 times a year pick up of debris etclong range plan to convert Mann Hall to Phycology classroom use – this will remedy this situation. That said - this project will make recommendations on its improvement. 	Record	

	 Riddick hall – there use is to be confirmed - but the group thought they were not an issue – perhaps just exiting? An enclosed chain link fence area was discussed at the Yarbrough Plant – it protects electrical distribution switches – that need to remain – the generator and transformer though could be moved. Access to the chillers and boilers at the back of the plant was discussed – a layby area needs to be planned for to service these pieces of equipment if traffic is introduced behind the plant. We have shown a block diagram of the Riddick parking deck – we will update it to show a walkway parallel to its eastern edge and move the gatehouse check points inside the footprint. 	
3.0	 JP gave an overview of Options 1, 2 and 3 and their Pro's and Con's. The options emphasize primary movements / flow of Yarbrough Drive. Discussion / comments on the options are described as follows: Option 1: TS indicated that Inter-circulation back through campus will be difficult / inconvenient Staging areas behind the Yarbrough Plant will be compromised Expensive to relocate equipment (generators) behind plant A possible improvement to the scheme would be to designate the area behind the pant as one way? Team will review. Much of the below grade utilities behind the plant are aging and are at or near their functional life span – if utility plans propose the replacement of these utilities – organize those efforts with the Yarbrough MP recommendations. AD pointed out the fueling locations around the Plant that need to be incorporated into the planning. LJ asked if a 'straight line' connection could be made at the existing Yarbrough Drive down to the road behind the plant - team will review this – utility relocations etc 	
	 Option 2: Better bus stop locations Better ability to interconnect internal to the campus Edits would include – adjust connection of old / existing Yarbrough down to the access behind the Plant, move the access controls to the south side of the Riddick Parking Deck, consider pavement variations / textures to inform users, make Stinson one way once past Riddick Park deck. Option 2 was generally preferred by the group 	
	 Option 3: Keeps the Yarbrough alignment essentially as it is today with some refinements. Many comments similar to Option 1 repeated This option was not preferred 	

WORKSHOP 4 - MEETING MINUTES





WORKSHOP 5 - MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Minutes

Project: Work Session #5	NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP	Project Number:	2010057.01
Purpose:	Concepts / options		
Meeting Location:	NCSU		
Meeting Date:	1/10/13		
Prepared By:	Jonathan Parsons RLA, ASLA		

Attendees:					
Name	Company	Initials	Name	Company	Initials
Robert Mathes, Jr	NCSU	RM	Alan Daeke	NCSU	AD
Dave Josephus	NCSU	DJ	Jeff Hightower	NCSU	JH
Bill Davis	NCSU	BD	Tom Skolnicki	NCSU	TS
Nessa Stone	NCSU	NS	Pete Fraccaroli	NCSU	PF
Lisa Johnson	NCSU	LJ	Carolyn Axtman	NCSU	CA
Sara Ketchum	NCSU	SK	Jonathan Parsons	O/A	JP
Tom Kendig	NCSU	TK	Rick Erickson	O/A	RE
		1			

Distribution:			
Name	Company	Name	Company
All Attendees			
O/A File 201057.01			

Bold type initials below indicates action items that need to be addressed at next meeting.

ITEMS	NOTES/ ISSUES/ ACTION	RESP	DUE DATE
1.0	JP welcomed everyone and the meeting's agenda was reviewed.	Record	
2.0	 JP gave an reviewed the decisions made in Work Session #4 and then presented a Power Point of the updates to the Mater Plan (copy attached). The following was noted by the group in that review: LJ asked O/A to show a phasing scenario that can be accomplished by phasing. Phasing specifically needed around field house/plant area as that is where the significant utility rework is required. Constrain traffic behind Plant using one way signage and controlled access points. LJ noted the field house may come down soon. JP reviewed the cross sections of the existing conditions. Section A – showed the site of the proposed rail station. Section B – showed a typical section of parking each side of the 2-way cart path. JP to reletter section B in the power point slide Section C shows the maintenance of Yarborough, group discussed the light rail track bed width and its possible implications. 	Record	
	Section D shows the existing grade where rework will be		

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

	Please Make any corrections or edits to the writer as soon as possible		
6.0	The meeting was concluded	Record	
	1		
	 Draft Cycle 1 PDFGT send to NCSU 1-25-13 Work session 6 Feb 11 1:00 – 2:30 to reviews Draft Cycle 		
5.0	JP reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work session dates and times:	Record	
4.0	Lisa instructed O/A to include Phased Construction break-out in the Cost Estimate and Isit the cost estimate assumptions.	Dec	
	overall light rail location to plans. JP will send to team through BD as a followup.		
	Plant.LJ asked for an overlay of the concept plan showing the		
	the parking garage entrance. AD asked for the overhead door shown and associated paving on the SE side of the		
	 Page 18 AD wants a turn out for the tanker truck at the SW corner of the future parking deck and relocate the gate to 		
	to show a Phase 1 and 2 on how the tunnel functions initially and how paths work when removed.		
	 Page 17 - LJ indicated that Mann Hall would be reconfigured possibly removing the center section. LJ asked 		
	 rail in document for now. Page 15 - BD suggested relocation 6 to between 3 & 4 		
	 options are described as follows: Page 14 - LJ asked that show light rail station vs. commuter 		
3.0	and Pullen Road. JP reviewed the concept plans. Discussion / comments on the	Record	
	 Section H LJ asked to add the profiles of the dormitories in background to show the constraint at intersection of Baver and Bullar Based 		
	Section G @ tunnel field hose to be demolished		
	 Section F – shows the existing condition between electrical equipment and rail bed 		
	JP noted that 8% is max fire access and will examine the intersection further.		
	 Section E, add Steam Tunnel, water line. AD will provide ROM cost for the relocation of the transformers and feeders. The ramp slope needs to be maintained at less than 10%, 		

Attachments:

PDF - Plans presented at meeting.

50

Meeting Minutes

Project:	NCSU Yarbrough Dr	ive MP	Project Number:	2010057.01
Work Session #6 Purpose:	Report draft review			
Meeting Location:	NCSU			
Meeting Date:	2/11/13			
Prepared By:	Jay W. Smith RLA, AS	SLA		
Attendees:				
Alle see a	A	Letter Marine		

Name	Company	Initials	Name	Company	Initials
Robert Mathes, Jr	NCSU	RM	Alan Daeke	NCSU	AD
Dave Josephus	NCSU	DJ	Jeff Hightower	NCSU	JH
Bill Davis	NCSU	BD	Tom Skolnicki	NCSU	TS
Nessa Stone	NCSU	NS	Pete Fraccaroli	NCSU	PF
Lisa Johnson	NCSU	LJ	Carolyn Axtman	NCSU	CA
Sara Ketchum	NCSU	SK	Jonathan Parsons	O/A	JP
Tom Kendig	NCSU	ΤK	Jay Smith	O/A	JS
-					

Distribution:			
Name	Company	Name	Company
All Attendees			
O/A File 201057.01			
Rick Erickson	O/A		

Bold type initials below indicates action items that need to be addressed at next meeting.

ITEMS	NOTES/ ISSUES/ ACTION	RESP	DUE DATE
1.0	JS welcomed everyone and the meeting's agenda was reviewed.	Record	
2.0	 Prior to this work session – O/A was asked to prepare a plan that illustrated what Yarbrough Drive would look like if the RxR expanded their tracks, as once planned, to the north. O/A prepared this 'contingency' plan and the group reviewed it. The following reflects the discussion: The exhibit shows that a substantial amount of parking would be eliminated. A two way road condition could be maintained for most all the Yarbrough Drive area – though a single lane will be behind the plant. This plan will not be part of the report. Many critical utilities and associated equipment would be impacted. 	Record	
3.0	 A page by page review of the report draft was conducted. General discussion included the following: Be consistent in naming campus buildings and elements Add bldg names and road names Add north arrow and scales to plans Add text about grading transitions and grade challenges Add captions to all photos 	Record	

etc		
Nessa Stone indicated she had revisions to our exhibit showing the campus waste collection sites –Nessa to forward this plan to us.	NS	asap
 Next steps are as follows: O/A to produce next cycle of report draft and label it 'final draft' NCSU staff will review the final draft and will need a 2-3 week time frame O/A will pick up edits and submit finished copies of the document to Bill Davis 	Record	
Everyone was thanked for their comments and the meeting was concluded	Record	
	 campus waste collection sites –Nessa to forward this plan to us. Next steps are as follows: O/A to produce next cycle of report draft and label it 'final draft' NCSU staff will review the final draft and will need a 2-3 week time frame O/A will pick up edits and submit finished copies of the document to Bill Davis Everyone was thanked for their comments and the meeting was 	campus waste collection sites –Nessa to forward this plan to us. Record Next steps are as follows: Record • O/A to produce next cycle of report draft and label it 'final draft' Record • NCSU staff will review the final draft and will need a 2-3 week time frame NC/A will pick up edits and submit finished copies of the document to Bill Davis Everyone was thanked for their comments and the meeting was concluded Record

Attachments:

PDF – draft report

NCSU Yarbrough Drive MP – meeting minutes

WORKSHOP 6 - MEETING MINUTES



3.1	The group agreed that Option 2 was the preferred option / approach in terms of flow and meeting the many needs of the surrounding buildings and campus at large. O/A will refine / edit Option 2	JP/JS	12-14-12
4.0	JS informed the team that the next work session will include a review of 'hybrid option 2' concept and its further refinement	Record	
5.0	 JS reviewed the project schedule. The following are the future work session dates and times: Work session 5 Jan 10 1:00 – 3:30 Work session 6 Feb 11 1:00 – 2:30 	Record	
6.0	The meeting was concluded	Record	

Make any corrections or edits to the writer as soon as possible

Attachments:

PDF - Plans presented at meeting including – Constraints overview plan, options 1, 2 and 3

PDF - Option 2 hybrid marked up per the discussion

Capital Project Management Box 7520 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7520

DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY

NUKIH CAKULINA SIA	IE UNIVERSIIY
Project Number:	2008 20026
Project Name:	Yarbrough Drive Master Plan
Project Manager:	Bill Davis
Design Phase:	Planning Study
Discipline:	
Consultant:	O'Brien Atkins
Reviewed by:	Bill Davis

Date of Comments:

March 13, 2013 Date of Response: April 5, 2013

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

1.	Page 5: Provide more context to the view area. The page should allow from Hillsboro to Western. Add general labels	1. Will comply
2.	Existing parking and proposed parking needs to be addressed, with quantities of different types of parking identified (service, staff, residential, etc.).	 On Nov 27, 2012 we rec'd parking info we had requested – NCSU reported to us that 379 spaces exist in the study area. No breakdown of spaces was given. A parking study was not part of our scope of services. We will note the 379 spaces in the study area – and the number of spaces impacted by the recommendations.
3.	Page 19: lines for railroad ROW are difficult to see compared to the utility lines	3. We will highlight the RxR Rails
4.	Page 24: More context could show the steam plant in the background	4. We will show - as accurate as possible - the steam plant outline
5.	Page 25: Box under plinth looks like it might be the steam tunnel – label as such or remove. Page 24 shows the retaining wall and rail. Is the ewall/rail missing at this location.	5. This is the steam tunnel and has been labeled as such. Rail added
6.		 We reference it's scheduled demolition – 'on grade' access would be difficult on the north side.
7.	Pages 30-35: Show building names	7. Will comply
8.	Append comments and responses to the appendix.	8. Will comply

	Capital Project Box 7520 Raleigh, North	0	
DESIGN REVIEW CON	C .		
NORTH CAROLINA S	TATE UNIVERSITY		
Project Number:	2008 20026		
Project Name:	Yarbrough Drive Master Pl	an	
Project Manager:	Bill Davis		
Design Phase:	Planning Study		
Discipline:			
Consultant:	O'Brien Atkins		
Reviewed by:	Facilities Operations		
Date of Comments:	April 9, 2013 Date of Res	ponse: A	
COMMENTS	RES	PONSE	
the SV vehicles	change in parking spaces for for the Yarbrough Plant and e workshop at SAS? JH	9. <mark>S</mark>	
2	clude the possible additional ant for the future emergency	10. V e	

generator for the Yarbrough Plant? It is

constraints. JH

labeled in the report, however, there is not a footprint shown on the drawing indicating that the future generator will fit with the site

REVIEW COMMENTS

ment a 27695-7520

April, 2013

ES

See response to comment #2 page 1.

We were not directed to accommodate an additional emergency generator – if this new requirement is intended - this will need to be studied further.



DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS

Capital Project Management Capital Project Management Box 7520 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7520 Box 7520 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7520 20. On pages 39-41, the parking impacts need to be NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY identified and should include a cost of \$17,500 for each space lost due to improvements. Yarbrough Drive Master Plan 21. Transportation notes that the design scenario is shorter term that the 20-year planning horizon of Planning Study the CMP, but it is unclear what that "foreseeable" future timeframe is. While considerable O'Brien Atkins uncertainty about future funding for light rail track (LRT) placement and campus station siting does exist, the university's ongoing planning consultations for regional rail infrastructure have Date of Response: April 5, 2013 consistently affirmed a preliminary design and engineering scenario that would displace much **RESPONSES** of NC State's use of Yarbrough now located in the 200 foot rail right of way. This design assumes no LRT trackage whatsoever and no 11 We will correct this adverse displacement impacts on this section of campus. The station location as shown is tentative, and somewhat irrelevant. 22. At the very least Transportation suggests that the design effort generate a Yarbrough alternative that reflects a longer-term scenario incorporating LRT and associated displacement impacts. This would force the issue, useful in the CMP context.

Reviewed by: Tara Lanier, Tom K., Brian O'Sullivan - Transportation Date of Comments: March 13, 2013

2008 20026

Bill Davis

COMMENTS

Project Number:

Project Manager:

Project Name:

Design Phase:

Discipline:

Consultant:

 On page 7, the legend transposes labels for one-way and two-way circulations. 	11. We will correct this
12. On page 8, Dan Allen is closed "9"am until 5pm, not 8am until 5pm.	12. We will make this edit
13. On page 10, there is a partial sentence "only be accessible from"	13. We will edit the sentence and overall paragraph
14. On page 14, Dan Allen "drive", not road (check this throughout set).	14. Will comply
15. On page 16, Court of "North" Carolina pick trucks??	15. We will edit & correct
16. On page 17, on Photo 12, the Riddick lot is now Boney Lot.	16. We will edit the caption
17. On page 21, Dan Allen "Drive"	17. We will edit
18. On page 28, what is "Cart Path"? On photo 28, it's Baver "Drive", not Street.	 Cart path is the drive ailses – we will use a better term
 On page 33, the light rail would not diverge from existing tracks as depicted. 	 We were not aware of an alternative rail alignment – no plans were shared with us showing otherwise. Provide plans and we will attempt to edit the plan.

- 3 -

- 4 -

of what the adverse mobility effects would look like and how some could be mitigated.

54

- 20. See response to comment # 2 page 1. We will show impacted parking spaces and extend the math at \$17,500.00 per space impacted.
- 21. Agreed but no other station location has been provided or is known. The NCSU improvements in the RxR ROW are noted and are subject to removal or adverse impact by the operating RxR authority.

22. The team agreed at the beginning of this process that the plan recommendations would continue to be sited in the RxR ROW and not to develop - an alternative suggesting otherwise.

	Capital Project Box 7520 Raleigh, Nort			
DESIGN REVIEW CO			DESIGN REVIEW CO	
NORTH CAROLINA S Project Number: Project Name: Project Manager:	2008 20026 Yarbrough Drive Master P Bill Davis	lan	NORTH CAROLINA S Project Number: Project Name: Project Manager:	2008 2 Yarbro Bill Da
Design Phase: Discipline: Consultant:	Planning Study O'Brien Atkins		Design Phase: Consultant: Reviewed by:	Planni O'Brie Mark M
Reviewed by: Date of Comments:	March 13, 2013 Dat	e of Response: April 9, 2013	Date of Comments: COMMENTS	April
parking on this part	RES ing spaces. There is not enough t of campus as is. It is not a the gain is little, but loss is a lot.	SPONSES 23. No comment by designer	26. p.7 – Traffic circula "reversed" on legel use pink for two-wa rather than vice ve	nd. Diagram a ay and purple rsa as on lege
	eum tunnel. Makes it a longer ther side of campus, Talley, gym,	24. The Tally Center Ped bridge is anticipated to improve this issue.	27. p.9 – Photo 7 capti not mistaken, this a Yarbrough Drive lo	appears to be

etc.

25. Plantings near the greenhouses can't block the sunlight.

25. Agreed – final planting designs will address this

		TATE UNIVERSITY	
Project 1	Manager: Phase: ant:	2008 20026 Yarbrough Drive M Bill Davis Planning Study O'Brien Atkins Mark Michaelson –	
Date of	Comments:	April 9, 2013	Date of Response:
COMM	ENTS		RESPONSES
26.	"reversed" on legend	on colors appear to be I. Diagram appears to and purple for one-way, a as on legend.	26. We edit & fix this
27.	p.9 – Photo 7 caption not mistaken, this ap Yarbrough Drive look Stinson Drive looking	n may be incorrect. If I'm pears to be a view of king north, rather than g west (Riddick to the left, er Lab to the right)?	27. We believe it to be accura others
28.	p.10 – First paragrap sentences do not ma	h, third and fourth	28. Agreed – we will edit
29.	as well, relative to the secondary service romisunderstanding.	ndicate that Yarbrough	29. We will edit
30.	p.11 – Paragraph sta is a major pedestrian Coliseum Tunnel has pedestrian movemen	ates that Yarbrough Drive a corridor and that s highest volume of nt. Diagram appears to re secondary paths. This	 Agreed – we will edit text path' to resolve any confu shows this correctly.
31.	p.31 – Curious about end of Yarbrough Ch access to large roll-u	t concept plan for east hiller Plant and how p doors would be bears to not maintain any	31. Access would be maintain
32.		ears to be mislabeled;	32. We will edit

DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY

Project Number:	2008 20026
Project Name:	Yarbrough Drive Master Plan

Capital Project Management Box 7520 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7520





		eet Management orth Carolina 27695-7520		Ca Box 7520 F
Project Manager:	Bill Davis		DESIGN REVIEW CO	
Design Phase:	Planning Study		NORTH CAROLINA S	
Discipline:			Project Number:	2008 20026
Consultant:	O'Brien Atkins		Project Name:	Yarbrough Driv
Reviewed by:			Project Manager:	Bill Davis
			Design Phase:	Planning Study
Date of Comments:	March 13, 2013 Da	ate of Response: April 5, 2013	Discipline:	T turning Study
COMMENTS	R	ESPONSES	Consultant: Reviewed by:	O'Brien Atkins Utilities & Eng
	Project Number on your Review first page will be sufficient	33. Will comply	Date of Comments:	March 13, 201.
Thank You	nist page will be sumclent		COMMENTS	
	MMENTO			n Utilities & Engineering.
DESIGN REVIEW CO			/sh	
NORTH CAROLINA S Project Number:	2008 20026			
Project Name:		Dlon		
Project Manager:	Yarbrough Drive Master Bill Davis	r iali	DESIGN REVIEW CO	MMENTS
Design Phase:			NORTH CAROLINA S	STATE UNIVERSI
0	Planning Study		Project Number:	2008 20026
Discipline: Consultant:	O'Brien Atkins		Project Name:	Yarbrough Driv
			Project Manager:	Bill Davis
Reviewed by: Steven R.			Design Phase:	Planning Study
Date of Comments:	April 8, 2013		Discipline:	
Date of Response:			Consultant:	O'Brien Atkins
COMMENTS	R	ESPONSES	Reviewed by:	
34 Page 6 – Paragraph 2 –	- Reference is made to the Riddick	34. Agreed – we will need direction from NCSU team on	Date of Comments:	April 8, 2013
Tunnel located directly	south of the field house. Since the	this though	Comments Provided by	y: Wolfpack Campu
field house has been de need to be identified ins	emolished, a new landmark may stead of the field house.		COMMENTS	
35. Page 10 – Paragraph 1	, Sentence 3 – Sentence 3 needs to rently written, it does not make	35. Agreed – we will edit	Tunnel Section Commen	ts: Provided by Team
good sense.	rentiy whiten, it does not make		38 Under pedestric	an circulation the Free
good sense.				nentioned but it doesn
36. Page 35 – Area C Cond	epts – If I understand the concept	36. The bridge abutment at Pullen Drive 'pinches' the		rbrough because the
	s that there is only 1 lane of traffic	width and thus ability for a 2 way movement		ot at grade (pg. 14)
	h of the Yarbrough Utility Plant.			s should be provided
	ought about making Yarbrough		volumes	s should be provided
	ntire distance between Dan Allen		volumes	
	' I think that 2-way traffic would			
make for better circulati	on. Just curious.		40. Tunnels were en	xpressed as traffic onl
			traveling North	, but that same traffic
			travel south on	the return trips
				1

56

Capital Project Management 0 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7520

SITY

ive Master Plan

y

IS

ngineering (Shanna Harwell (sh))

013 Date of Response: April 5, 2013

RESPONSES

ıg.	37. thanks	

SITY

rive Master Plan

y

B Date of Response: pus Solutions (Senior Design CE400 Firm 1)

RESPONSES

m 1

.111 1	
ree esn't not ie	38. Agreed - will edit the paragraph to note this and eliminate any confusion
ed as well as	39. 'Level of Service' is not a part of this study?? Need to clarify this question.
only fic must	40. Agreed – we will edit any reference to 'one way' tunnels.

Capital Project Box 7520 Raleigh, North	
41. The plan does not account for a proper station length (the platform must be ~400 ft. long to accommodate 3-4 commuter rail cars)	41. We have shown the platform as given to us from NCSU – only conceptual plans were available.
42. The station requires rail switches, which would not be feasible for a commuter rail project.	42. Agreed - Not sure if this pertains to this study
43. The station is in a good area to minimize impact on utilities	43. Agreed – we might need clarification on this comment
44. The station has good pedestrian access	44. OK
45. The rail station located at Dan Allen seems to use the existing track – so it is assumed that this station is a commuter rail station.	45. The latest plans we understand is that commuter rail is the intention – however ligh rail was also discussed
46. No space has been allotted for future construction of a light rail station.	46. Agreed but this is concept only
47. Triangle Transit has specified future development of light rail corridor on the north side of the existing heavy-rail tracks.	47. Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans
48. The heavy-rail commuter station is located to the north of the existing tracks. This creates a conflict with the light rail station.	 Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans
49. Switches are present on this Master Plan and the North Carolina Railroad will not allow switches unless absolutely necessary.	49. Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans
50. There is not room for light rail tracks which will cut into Yarbrough when developed.	50. Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans

Capital P	roject Management	
v 7520 Dalaiah	North Carolina 27605 7520	

Capital Project Management Box 7520 Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-7520

53. Will all parking along Yarbrough Dr. be

demolished?

,		
s, which would rail project.	42. Agreed - Not sure if this pertains to this study	54. What are the benefits of Yarbrough becoming 2-way behind Broughton, Mann, and Riddick Halls?
minimize	43. Agreed – we might need clarification on this comment	55. Will the Coliseum Tunnel be demolished when the sky bridge is constructed?
access	44. OK	
Allen seems to ssumed that	45. The latest plans we understand is that commuter rail is the intention – however light	56. Page 29/34: Would there be enough room for two directions of travel on Yarbrough Drive if the Light Rail tracks are put in?
future	46. Agreed but this is concept only	57. Page 35: Point 4 what type of access control? Just to keep vehicles out, or also peds/bikes?
on.	40. Agreed but this is concept only	
future lor on the	47. Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans	59. Page 35: Point 9 How are the fuel trucks expected to reach the filling point (e.g., up Yarbrough from Dan Allen, down Baver/Yarbrough from Pullen, down
y-rail tracks. on is located to . This creates tion.	48. Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans	61. Page 35: Point 10 what kind of barrier? As it is, students climb/jump down the ~15 foot wall between SAS Hall and the Language/Computer Lab.

51. We are hesitant to modify this as we are not

fully aware of their plans

Baver/Yarbrough from Pullen, down	
61. Page 35: Point 10 what kind of barrier? As it is, students climb/jump down the ~15 foot wall between SAS Hall and the Language/Computer Lab.	62. A barrier type rai the action you m
63. General: Is there a rough estimate of the construction scheduling for the phases (start/end dates, assuming all goes as is planned)?	64. No forecast regat made
 65. You have miss-labeled a photo that is not even an intersection of students crossing, the intersection labeled is further down Stinson Dr. – pg. 8 photo 5 (Team 1) 	66. We will edit the c
67. You have a miss-labeled a photo as "looking West" when it is really looking east. – pg. 9 photo 7 (Team 1)	67 We will confirm a
68 Names of building are commonly incorrect (Team 1)	68. We will confir

52. Will this plan still work if new light rail tracks	52. No that impact would disrupt the plans. The
need to be laid down on the north side of the	team agreed not to depict this possibility.
current tracks?	

51. Recommendation: place light rail station to

the north of the tracks. Adjustments will

have to be made to Yarbrough to fit the

tracks.

53. No - only a portion – we will estimate the q	ty.
--	-----

54. Better all-around mobility and freedom of movement to Dan Allen or Stinson

55. NCSU will need to reply to this comment

56. No that impact would disrupt the plans. The team agreed not to depict this possibility.

58. Control in from of a gates

60. Dan Allen to the rear of Plant

ailing with pickets - to prevent mention

arding const schedule were

caption

and edit if needed

firm and edit if needed



	Caj
(0	Box 7520 Ra
69	Looking direction (East or West) show
	provided for cross-sections (Team 1)
70	There are no considerations for a ligh
	system and how that system would in
	with both the commuter rail station (l
	at Dan Allen) as well as the alignment
	Yarbrough (Team 2)
71	The legend on page 7 has the "one-wa
	"two-way" terms mislabeled (Team 4
72	Page 18-19: Although marked in Figu
	mention of plans for working around
	natural gas lines is made in the utilities
	section. (Team 5)
73	Page 24: Photo 21 is of Mann Hall, no
	Riddick Hall. (Team 5)
74	Have there been any specific consider
	for bikes? (Team 5)
	×

Capital Project Management

	h Carolina 27695-7520
nould be 1)	69 We will add this note
ght rail interact (located ents of	70. Perhaps - but we are not fully aware of their plans
way" and 14)	71. we will edit this
igure 8, no nd the ies	72. we will add language to that effect
not	73. we will edit
lerations	74. not specifically – though the plan does not exclude them

